

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/916,928	07/27/2001		David H. Levy	13159-004001	9129
26161	7590	10/23/2003		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON PC	CHOW, DOON Y		
225 FRANK BOSTON, M		0		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,				2675	10
				DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•			N
Office Action Summany	09/916,928	LEVY ET AL.	$\mathcal{I}$
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,
	Dennis-Doon Chow		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, in the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (to tute, cause the application to become the statutory minimum of which is the cause the application to become the statutory.	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3</u>	0 July 2003 .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26,38-53 and 55-66</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-25,38-52 and 5	55-57 is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,26,53 and 58-66</u> is/are rejected	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremer	nt.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approved b	) disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received	i.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	d in Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a l</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	!(a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			l application).
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application h	nas been received.	
Attachment(s) /	, , ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	√ / 5) □ Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	

**Art Unit: 2675** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, claims 1-15, 26, 53 and 58-66 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-14 and 58-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lapeyre (4994992) in view Furuhata et al. (5943043).

Lapeyre discloses a keyboard, comprising: a tactile feedback means; a matrix of key regions which includes interstitial key regions and raised key regions (Fig. 2); means for activating the key regions; means for scanning the matrix for activated keys (Fig. 1); and means for providing an output to the user in response to the activation of the keys.

Lapeyre does not disclose activating an adjacent key region prior to release of a first activated key region.

Furuhata discloses a versatile input device for inputting data signal to a display system comprises a plurality of input regions. Furuhata further discloses activating a first activated region, and an adjacent region before the release of first activated region

Application/Control Number: 09/916,928

Art Unit: 2675

within a predetermined time period (see Abstract). In response to the activation of the adjacent region, the device provides a final output to the user.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to use Furuhata's activation means in Lapeyre's keyboard. This would have been obvious because Furuhata's activation means allows the keyboard to output more data with the same number of key regions.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lapeyre in view of Furuhata et al. as applied to claims 1-5, 7-14 and 58-61 above, and further in view of Ahmadian (5914677).

The modified Lapeyre does not disclose driving two adjacent rows simultaneously and seeking for two simultaneous output columns.

Ahmadian, in the same input field, discloses an apparatus and a method for scanning a keyboard device. Ahmadian further discloses scanning multiple adjacent rows simultaneously and seeking for multiple simultaneous output columns (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Ahmadian's scanning means in the keyboard device of the modified Lapeyre to scanning two adjacent row simultaneously and seeking for two simultaneous output columns. This would have been obvious because the speed of scanning the keyboard device can be improved by scanning multiple adjacent rows simultaneously.

Art Unit: 2675

5. Claims 15, 26, 53 and 62-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lapeyre (4994992) in view Furuhata et al. (5943043).

Lapeyre discloses a keyboard, comprising: a tactile feedback means; a matrix of key regions which includes interstitial key regions and raised key regions (Fig. 2); means for activating the key regions; means for scanning the matrix for activated keys (Fig. 1); means for activating combination key regions; and means for providing an output to the user in response to the activation of the keys.

Lapeyre does not disclose driving two adjacent rows simultaneously while examining the columns for switch activation.

Ahmadian, in the same input field, discloses an apparatus and a method for scanning a keyboard device. Ahmadian further discloses scanning multiple adjacent rows simultaneously while examining the columns for switch activation (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Ahmadian's scanning means in the keyboard of Lapeyre to scanning two adjacent row simultaneously while examining the columns for switch activation. This would have been obvious because the speed of scanning the keyboard can be improved by scanning multiple adjacent rows simultaneously.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/916,928

Art Unit: 2675

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

D. Chow October 20, 2003

> DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER